#### The geometry of neural networks



Kathlén Kohn

joint with



Joan Bruna Center for Data Science Courant Institute at NYU

Matthew Trager Amazon Alexa Al, NYC Guido Montúfar MPI MiS Leipzig UCLA Thomas Merkh UCLA







# Neural Networks

# Neural Networks



 $\rightarrow$ 













A neural network is defined by a continuous mapping  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ .



A neural network is defined by a continuous mapping  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{d_w} imes \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Definition} \quad \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} := \left\{ \Phi(w, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{d_{x}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{y}} \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{w}} \right\} \\ \text{ is called the$ **neuromanifold** $of $\Phi$.} \end{array}$ 



A neural network is defined by a continuous mapping  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{d_w} imes \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Definition} \quad \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} := \left\{ \Phi(w, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{d_{x}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{y}} \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{w}} \right\} \\ \text{ is called the$ **neuromanifold** $of $\Phi$.} \end{array}$ 

**Observation** 1.  $\Phi$  piecewise smooth  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$  manifold with singularities



A neural network is defined by a continuous mapping  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ .

**Definition**  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} := \left\{ \Phi(w, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_y} \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \right\} \subset C(\mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \mathbb{R}^{d_y})$  is called the **neuromanifold** of  $\Phi$ .

**Observation** 1.  $\Phi$  piecewise smooth  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$  manifold with singularities 2. dim  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \leq d_w$ 

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w, x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}}$ ,

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w, x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h \overline{d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0}$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h \overline{d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0}$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

 $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\} \right\}.$ 

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h \overline{d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0}$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \underbrace{\min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\}}_{=:r} \right\}$$

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

$$\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$$
  
where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}}$ 

$$(so d_w = d_h d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0, d_x = d_0 and d_y = d_h).$$

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \underbrace{\min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\}}_{=:r} \right\}$$

1. If  $r = \min\{d_0, d_h\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0}$ .

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \underbrace{\min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\}}_{=:r} \right\}.$$

1. If  $r = \min\{d_0, d_h\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0}$ .

"filling architecture"

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h \overline{d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0}$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

 $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \underbrace{\min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\}}_{=:r} \right\}.$ 

1. If  $r = \min\{d_0, d_h\}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0}$ . "filling architecture" 2. If  $r < \min\{d_0, d_h\}$ , "non-filling architecture"

II - XIV

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

 $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\} \right\}.$ 

= r

 If r = min{d<sub>0</sub>, d<sub>h</sub>}, then M<sub>Φ</sub> = ℝ<sup>d<sub>h</sub>×d<sub>0</sub>. "filling architecture"
 If r < min{d<sub>0</sub>, d<sub>h</sub>}, "non-filling architecture" then M<sub>Φ</sub> is a determinantal variety.
</sup>

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h \overline{d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0}$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

 $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\} 
ight\}.$ 

 If r = min{d<sub>0</sub>, d<sub>h</sub>}, then M<sub>Φ</sub> = ℝ<sup>d<sub>h</sub>×d<sub>0</sub>.
 If r < min{d<sub>0</sub>, d<sub>h</sub>}, then M<sub>Φ</sub> is a determinantal variety. Note: M<sub>Φ</sub> is neither convex nor smooth
</sup> "filling architecture" "non-filling architecture"

= r

A linear network is defined by a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  of the form

 $\Phi(w,x) = W_h W_{h-1} \dots W_1 x,$ where  $w = (W_h, \dots, W_1)$  and  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i imes d_{i-1}},$ 

(so  $d_w = d_h d_{h-1} + \ldots + d_1 d_0$ ,  $d_x = d_0$  and  $d_y = d_h$ ).

**Example** The neuromanifold of the linear network  $\Phi$  is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq \min\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h\} \right\}.$$

= r

-XIV

 If r = min{d<sub>0</sub>, d<sub>h</sub>}, then M<sub>Φ</sub> = ℝ<sup>d<sub>h</sub>×d<sub>0</sub>. "filling architecture"
 If r < min{d<sub>0</sub>, d<sub>h</sub>}, "non-filling architecture" then M<sub>Φ</sub> is a determinantal variety. Note: M<sub>Φ</sub> is neither convex nor smooth (Sing M<sub>Φ</sub> = {M | rk(M) ≤ r − 1})
</sup>

A loss function on a neural network  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  is of the form  $L : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}} \mathbb{R},$   $w \longmapsto \Phi(w, \cdot)$ 

A loss function on a neural network  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  is of the form  $L : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}} \mathbb{R},$   $w \longmapsto \Phi(w, \cdot)$ 

where  $\ell$  is a functional defined on a subset of  $C(\mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \mathbb{R}^{d_y})$  containing  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ .

A loss function on a neural network  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  is of the form  $L : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}} \mathbb{R},$   $w \longmapsto \Phi(w, \cdot)$ 

where  $\ell$  is a functional defined on a subset of  $C(\mathbb{R}^{d_x},\mathbb{R}^{d_y})$  containing  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}.$ 



Source: Li, Hao, et al. "Visualizing the loss landscape of neural nets." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2018.

III - XIV

A loss function on a neural network  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  is of the form  $L : \mathbb{R}^{d_w} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}} \mathbb{R},$  $w \longmapsto \Phi(w, \cdot)$ 

where  $\ell$  is a functional defined on a subset of  $C(\mathbb{R}^{d_x},\mathbb{R}^{d_y})$  containing  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ .



Source: Li, Hao, et al. "Visualizing the loss landscape of neural nets." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2018.

**Observation** If  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Crit}(\ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}})$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(\varphi) \subset \operatorname{Crit}(L)$ .

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

$$L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_{h-1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{R},$$
$$(W_h, \ldots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$$

 $\mathsf{Recall:} \ \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \big\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \mathrm{rk}(M) \leq r \big\}, \ \mathsf{where} \ r := \mathsf{min} \ \{d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_h\}.$ 

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

$$L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_{h-1}} imes \dots imes \mathbb{R}^{d_1 imes d_0} \stackrel{\mu}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_0} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R}, \ (W_h, \dots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$$

Recall:  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r \}$ , where  $r := \min \{ d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h \}$ .

**Theorem** Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ . 1. If rk(M) = r, then  $\mu^{-1}(M)$  has  $2^b$  path-connected components

where  $b := \# \{i \mid 0 < i < h, d_i = r\}$ .

IV - XIV

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

$$L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_{h-1}} imes \dots imes \mathbb{R}^{d_1 imes d_0} \stackrel{\mu}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_0} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R}, \ (W_h, \dots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$$

Recall:  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r \}$ , where  $r := \min \{ d_0, d_1, \dots, d_h \}$ .

**Theorem** Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ . 1. If  $\operatorname{rk}(M) = r$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(M)$  has  $2^b$  path-connected components

where  $b := \# \{i \mid 0 < i < h, d_i = r\}$ .

2. If rk(M) < r, then  $\mu^{-1}(M)$  is path-connected.

IV - XIV

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

For linear networks, the loss *L* often has "no bad minima", i.e. every local minimum is global.

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

 $L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_{h-1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{R},$  $(W_h, \ldots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$ 

For linear networks, the loss *L* often has "no bad minima", i.e. every local minimum is global.

**Proposition** Let  $\ell$  be smooth and convex. *L* has non-global minima  $\Leftrightarrow \ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}$  has non-global minima.

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

 $L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_{h-1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{R},$  $(W_h, \ldots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$ 

For linear networks, the loss *L* often has "no bad minima", i.e. every local minimum is global.

 $\langle / \rangle$ 

**Proposition** Let  $\ell$  be smooth and convex. *L* has non-global minima  $\Leftrightarrow \ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}$  has non-global minima.

**Corollary** [Laurent & von Brecht '17] If  $\ell$  is smooth convex and  $r = \min\{d_0, d_h\}$  (filling architecture), then all local minima for *L* are global.

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

 $L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_{h-1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{R},$  $(W_h, \ldots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$ 

For linear networks, the loss *L* often has "no bad minima", i.e. every local minimum is global.

\/ \_ X

**Proposition** Let  $\ell$  be smooth and convex. *L* has non-global minima  $\Leftrightarrow \ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}$  has non-global minima.

**Corollary** [Laurent & von Brecht '17] If  $\ell$  is smooth convex and  $r = \min\{d_0, d_h\}$  (filling architecture), then all local minima for *L* are global.

**Corollary** [Baldi & Hornik '89, Kawaguchi '16] If  $\ell$  is a quadratic loss, then all local minima for *L* are global.

A loss function on a linear network is of the form

 $L: \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_{h-1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_0} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{R},$  $(W_h, \ldots, W_1) \longmapsto W_h \cdots W_1$ 

For linear networks, the loss *L* often has "no bad minima", i.e. every local minimum is global.

V \_ X

**Proposition** Let  $\ell$  be smooth and convex. *L* has non-global minima  $\Leftrightarrow \ell|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}}$  has non-global minima.

**Corollary** [Laurent & von Brecht '17] If  $\ell$  is smooth convex and  $r = \min\{d_0, d_h\}$  (filling architecture), then all local minima for *L* are global.

**Corollary** [Baldi & Hornik '89, Kawaguchi '16] If  $\ell$  is a quadratic loss, then all local minima for *L* are global. (even in the non-filling case!)

# The Quadratic Loss

Fixed data matrices  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0 \times s}$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times s}$  define a quadratic loss

$$\ell_{X,Y}: \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$
  
 $M \longmapsto \|MX - Y\|_F^2$ 

# The Quadratic Loss

Fixed data matrices  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0 \times s}$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times s}$  define a quadratic loss

$$\ell_{X,Y}: \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$
  
 $M \longmapsto \|MX - Y\|_F^2$ 

**Observation** If  $XX^T = I_{d_0}$  ("whitened data"), then  $\ell_{X Y}(M) = ||M - YX^T||_F^2 + \text{const.}$ 

#### The Quadratic Loss

Fixed data matrices  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0 \times s}$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times s}$  define a quadratic loss

$$\ell_{X,Y}: \mathbb{R}^{d_h imes d_0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$
  
 $M \longmapsto \|MX - Y\|_F^2$ 

**Observation** If  $XX^T = I_{d_0}$  ("whitened data"), then  $\ell_{X,Y}(M) = ||M - YX^T||_F^2 + \text{const.}$ 

Minimizing  $\ell_{X,Y}$  on the determinantal variety  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\}$  is equivalent to minimizing the Euclidean distance of  $YX^{T}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ .

VI - XIV
## Euclidean Distance to Varieties

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety (i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials).

# Euclidean Distance to Varieties

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety

(i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials).

There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem  $\min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} ||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.

# Euclidean Distance to Varieties

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety

(i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials).

There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem  $\min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} ||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.  $\delta$  is called the **ED degree** of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

Euclidean Distance to Varieties Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety (i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials). There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem  $\min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} ||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.  $\delta$  is called the **ED** degree of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



 $\delta$ (circle) = 2

Euclidean Distance to Varieties Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety (i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials). There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem  $\min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} ||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.  $\delta$  is called the ED degree of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



Euclidean Distance to Varieties Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety (i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials). There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem min  $||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.  $\delta$  is called the **ED degree** of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

The other  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  form a complex hypersurface, called **ED** discriminant of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



Euclidean Distance to Varieties Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety (i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials). There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem min  $||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.  $\delta$  is called the **ED degree** of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

The other  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  form a complex hypersurface, called **ED** discriminant of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



Euclidean Distance to Varieties Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety (i.e., the common zero locus of some set of polynomials). There is a constant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  the minimization problem min  $||z - q||_2^2$  has  $\delta$  complex critical points.  $\delta$  is called the **ED degree** of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

The other  $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$  form a complex hypersurface, called **ED** discriminant of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

**EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

#### **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has  $\binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$  complex critical points.

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

#### **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2 \text{ has } \binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r} \text{ complex critical points.}$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \mathsf{ d} \mathsf{e} \mathsf{g} \mathsf{r} \mathsf{e} \mathsf{e} \ \delta(\mathcal{M}_r) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \min\{m,n\} \\ r \end{smallmatrix} 
ight)$ 

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

#### **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has  $\binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$  complex critical points.

$$\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \mathsf{ degree} \ \delta(\mathcal{M}_r) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \min\{m,n\} \\ r \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

2. All critical points are real.

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

## **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has  $\binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$  complex critical points.

 $\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \mathsf{ degree} \ \delta(\mathcal{M}_r) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \min\{m,n\} \\ r \end{smallmatrix} 
ight)$ 

2. All critical points are real.

 $\Rightarrow$  ED discriminant has codimension 2 over  $\mathbb R$ 

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

## **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has  $\binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$  complex critical points.

 $\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \mathsf{ degree} \ \delta(\mathcal{M}_r) = \binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$ 

2. All critical points are real.

 $\Rightarrow$  ED discriminant has codimension 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$ In fact: ED discriminant = { matrices with  $\geq$  2 coinciding singular values }

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

## **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has  $\binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$  complex critical points.

 $\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \mathsf{ d} \mathsf{e} \mathsf{g} \mathsf{r} \mathsf{e} \mathsf{e} \ \delta(\mathcal{M}_r) = \left( egin{matrix} \min\{m,n\} \\ r \end{smallmatrix} 
ight)$ 

- 2. All critical points are real.
  - $\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \text{ discriminant has codimension 2 over } \mathbb{R}$ In fact:  $\mathsf{ED} \text{ discriminant} = \{ \text{ matrices with } \ge 2 \text{ coinciding singular values } \}$
- 3.  $\min_{M\in\mathcal{M}_r}\|M-Q\|_F^2$  has unique local minimum

 $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  determinantal variety

## **EY** Theorem

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be of full rank with pairwise distinct singular values.

1.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has  $\binom{\min\{m,n\}}{r}$  complex critical points.

 $\Rightarrow \mathsf{ED} \mathsf{ d} \mathsf{e} \mathsf{g} \mathsf{r} \mathsf{e} \mathsf{e} \ \delta(\mathcal{M}_r) = \left( egin{matrix} \min\{m,n\} \\ r \end{smallmatrix} 
ight)$ 

- 2. All critical points are real.
  - ⇒ ED discriminant has codimension 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$ In fact: ED discriminant = { matrices with ≥ 2 coinciding singular values }

11 \_ X

3.  $\min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \|M - Q\|_F^2$  has unique local minimum

**Corollary** [Baldi & Hornik '89, Kawaguchi '16] If  $\ell$  is a quadratic loss, then all local minima for the loss  $L = \ell \circ \mu$  on a linear network are global. (even in the non-filling case!)

|X - X|

## Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an algebraic variety.

There is a constant  $\delta^{\text{gen}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all linear coordinate changes  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  the ED degree of  $f(\mathcal{Z})$  is  $\delta^{\text{gen}}$ .

Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an algebraic variety.

There is a constant  $\delta^{\text{gen}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all linear coordinate changes  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  the ED degree of  $f(\mathcal{Z})$  is  $\delta^{\text{gen}}$ .

 $\delta^{\text{gen}}$  is called the generic ED degree of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

# Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an algebraic variety.

There is a constant  $\delta^{\text{gen}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all linear coordinate changes  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  the ED degree of  $f(\mathcal{Z})$  is  $\delta^{\text{gen}}$ .

 $\delta^{\text{gen}}$  is called the generic ED degree of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



# Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an algebraic variety.

There is a constant  $\delta^{\text{gen}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for almost all linear coordinate changes  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  the ED degree of  $f(\mathcal{Z})$  is  $\delta^{\text{gen}}$ .

 $\delta^{\text{gen}}$  is called the generic ED degree of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



Equivalently:  $\delta^{\text{gen}}$  is the ED degree of  $\mathcal{Z}$ under the perturbed Euclidean distance  $||f(\cdot)||_2$ .  $|\chi - \chi||_2$ 

# Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Example $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{M \mid \mathrm{rk}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$

## Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Example $M_1 = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \le 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ 1. $\delta(M_1) = 3$

## Linear Networks Can Have Bad Local Minima Example $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ 1. $\delta(\mathcal{M}_1) = 3 < 39 = \delta^{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{M}_1)$

**Example**  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ 

1.  $\delta(\mathcal{M}_1) = 3 \quad < \quad 39 = \delta^{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ 

2. under almost all perturbed Euclidean distances  $||f(\cdot)||_2$ , the ED discriminant of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is a hypersurface over  $\mathbb{R}$ 

**Example**  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ 

1.  $\delta(\mathcal{M}_1) = 3 \quad < \quad 39 = \delta^{\text{gen}}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ 

2. under almost all perturbed Euclidean distances  $||f(\cdot)||_2$ , the ED discriminant of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is a hypersurface over  $\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  different number of real critical points in different open regions of  $\mathbb{R}^{3 imes 3}$ 

**Example**  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ 

1.  $\delta(\mathcal{M}_1) = 3 \quad < \quad 39 = \delta^{\text{gen}}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ 

2. under almost all perturbed Euclidean distances  $||f(\cdot)||_2$ , the ED discriminant of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is a hypersurface over  $\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  different number of real critical points in different open regions of  $\mathbb{R}^{3 imes 3}$ 

3. Also: different number of local minima in different open regions of  $\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ , not all of them global !





XI - XIV



All determinantal varieties behave like this ! XI - XIV

**Remark** Closed formula for generic ED degree of  $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \mathrm{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  involving only m, n, r difficult to derive.

**Remark** Closed formula for generic ED degree of  $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \mathrm{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  involving only m, n, r difficult to derive.

For r = 1,

$$\delta^{\text{gen}}(\mathcal{M}_1) = \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} (-1)^s (2^{m+n+1-s} - 1)(m+n-s)! \left[ \sum_{\substack{i+j=s\\i \leq m, \ i \leq n}} \frac{\binom{m+1}{i} \binom{n+1}{j}}{(m-i)!(n-j)!} \right]$$

**Remark** Closed formula for generic ED degree of  $\mathcal{M}_r = \{M \mid \operatorname{rk}(M) \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  involving only m, n, r difficult to derive.

For r = 1,

$$\delta^{ ext{gen}}(\mathcal{M}_1) = \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} (-1)^s (2^{m+n+1-s}-1)(m+n-s)! \left[ \sum_{\substack{i+j=s \ i\leq m, \ j\leq n}} rac{\binom{m+1}{i}\binom{n+1}{j}}{(m-i)!(n-j)!} 
ight]$$

 $\delta(\mathcal{M}_1) = \min\{m, n\}$ 

# Take Away

determinantal varieties are examples of neuromanifolds

for linear networks with smooth convex losses:



#### future extensions to

 convolutional networks (ongoing work with T. Merkh, G. Montúfar, M. Trager)

- networks with polynomial activation functions or
- ◊ ReLU networks (using semi-algebraic sets)

## Linear Convolutional Networks

◆ 1D convolutional layers with 1 filter having stride size 1 correspond to circulant matrices  $\begin{bmatrix}
 a & b & 0 \\
 0 & a & b \\
 b & 0 & a
\end{bmatrix}$ 

# Linear Convolutional Networks

• 1D convolutional layers with 1 filter having stride size 1 correspond to circulant matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ b & 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ 

 applying several layers (i.e. multiplying circulant matrices) corresponds to polynomial multiplication
## Linear Convolutional Networks

◆ 1D convolutional layers with 1 filter having stride size 1 correspond to circulant matrices  $\begin{bmatrix}
a & b & 0 \\
0 & a & b \\
b & 0 & a
\end{bmatrix}$ 

 applying several layers (i.e. multiplying circulant matrices) corresponds to polynomial multiplication

#### Theorem

A network architecture is filling (i.e. the neuromanifold is a vector space) if and only if there is at most 1 filter of even width.

## Linear Convolutional Networks

◆ 1D convolutional layers with 1 filter having stride size 1 correspond to circulant matrices  $\begin{bmatrix}
a & b & 0 \\
0 & a & b \\
b & 0 & a
\end{bmatrix}$ 

 applying several layers (i.e. multiplying circulant matrices) corresponds to polynomial multiplication

### Theorem

A network architecture is filling (i.e. the neuromanifold is a vector space) if and only if there is at most 1 filter of even width.

 In the non-filling case, the neuromanifold is a semi-algebraic set whose boundary is contained in the discriminant hypersurface of polynomials.

\/ \_ >

# Linear Convolutional Networks

◆ 1D convolutional layers with 1 filter having stride size 1 correspond to circulant matrices  $\begin{bmatrix}
 a & b & 0 \\
 0 & a & b \\
 b & 0 & a
\end{bmatrix}$ 

 applying several layers (i.e. multiplying circulant matrices) corresponds to polynomial multiplication

### Theorem

A network architecture is filling (i.e. the neuromanifold is a vector space) if and only if there is at most 1 filter of even width.

- In the non-filling case, the neuromanifold is a semi-algebraic set whose boundary is contained in the discriminant hypersurface of polynomials.
- Example: If there are 2 filters of even width, the complement of the neuromanifold is a union of two convex cones.